

Tigecycline Evaluation Surveillance Trial (T.E.S.T.) – United States In Vitro Potency Against Selected Species of *Enterococcus* spp.

ER07



IHMA, Inc.
2122 Palmer Dr.
Schaumburg, IL
60173
Tel: 847.303.5003
Fax: 847.303.5601

Revised Abstract

Objectives: Tigecycline has been shown to have potent expanded broad spectrum activity against most commonly encountered species responsible for community and hospital acquired infections. The T.E.S.T. program determined the in vitro activity of tigecycline compared to vancomycin, linezolid, ampicillin, levofloxacin, minocycline, and penicillin against members of *Enterococcus* spp. collected from hospitals in the USA. **Methods:** A total of 4,617 clinical enterococci were identified to the species level at each participating site and confirmed by the central laboratory. Isolates were collected from 2004 through 2008. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MICs) were determined by the local laboratory using broth microdilution panels and interpreted according to CLSI guidelines. **Results:** Of 3,174 *E. faecalis* evaluated, vancomycin resistance was noted in 137 (4.3%) isolates. These isolates were all susceptible to linezolid, penicillin, ampicillin and tigecycline. Tigecycline presented the lowest MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀ (0.06/0.12 mcg/ml) against all enterococci among the antimicrobial agents evaluated. As a typical profile of *E. faecalis*, fluoroquinolone (levofloxacin) and tetracycline (minocycline) had limited activities against this species. Among 1,175 *E. faecium*, 789 (67.2%) were resistant to vancomycin. Tigecycline also presented the lowest MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀ of 0.06/0.12 mcg/ml against all vancomycin-resistant enterococci. **Conclusions:** Tigecycline's in vitro activity was comparable to or greater than most commonly prescribed antimicrobials. The presented data suggest that tigecycline may be an effective and reliable therapeutic option against *Enterococcus* spp. including vancomycin-resistant strains.

Introduction

Tigecycline is a broad-spectrum antimicrobial agent and first-in-class of the semi-synthetic glycyclines to be approved for human use [1]. This synthetic analogue of the minocycline molecule exhibits significant antibacterial activity that is both bacteriostatic and, in certain instances, bactericidal with killing activity that is as much as fourfold better than vancomycin and daptomycin [2, 3]. The development of tigecycline is important in that tigecycline and other glycyclines are active against bacterial strains carrying either or both of the two major forms of tetracycline resistance: efflux and ribosomal protection. Certain substituents at the 9-position of the tetracycline molecule restored activity against bacteria harboring genes encoding either or both efflux and ribosomal protection. A single chemical modification of tigecycline overcomes the two molecularly distinct forms of resistance while maintaining activity against susceptible gram-positive, gram-negative, aerobic, and anaerobic bacteria [4]. Furthermore, resistance to tigecycline is difficult to produce even in the laboratory.

Previous studies have demonstrated excellent in vitro activity for tigecycline against clinical and laboratory strains of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria with minimum inhibitory concentrations for the 90th percentile inhibited at or below 2 mcg/ml, including difficult to treat methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) and extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing *Enterobacteriaceae* [5-9]. This study was undertaken to document the in vitro activity of tigecycline against significant numbers of *Enterococcus* spp. collected from laboratories in the United States. This study is part of the larger ongoing global Tigecycline Evaluation and Surveillance Trials (T.E.S.T.) program.

Materials & Methods

- ❖ All isolates were derived from blood, respiratory tract, urine, skin, wound, body fluids and other defined sources. Only one isolate per patient was accepted into the study. Clinical isolates were collected and tested between January 2004 and December 2008 from 460 study centers in the United States. Isolates were identified to the species level and tested at each site by the participating laboratory.
- ❖ Organism collection, transport, confirmation of organism identification, as well as, development and management of a centralized database was coordinated by Laboratories International for Microbiology Studies (LIMS), a division of International Health Management Associates, Inc. located in Schaumburg, IL, USA.
- ❖ All organisms were deemed clinically significant by local participant criteria. Isolate inclusion was independent of medical history, antimicrobial use, age or gender. All sites identified each study isolate utilizing local laboratory site criteria.
- ❖ Antimicrobial resistance was interpreted according to CLSI breakpoints with tigecycline susceptible breakpoints defined as ≤0.25 mcg/ml for enterococci. Tigecycline FDA breakpoints for enterococci are approved for vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecalis*, only [12]. Breakpoints for tigecycline were applied to other enterococci for comparison purposes only.
- ❖ Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by the CLSI recommended broth microdilution testing method [10]. Custom broth microdilution panels were supplied by MicroScan (Dade Behring Inc., Sacramento, CA, USA) and TREK (TREK Diagnostic Systems, West Sussex, England). The following antimicrobial agents were included on the panels with their dilution ranges (expressed in mcg/ml): amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (0.12/0.06-32/16); ampicillin (0.06-16); ceftriaxone (0.06-64); imipenem (0.06-16); linezolid (0.5-8); levofloxacin (0.008-8); meropenem (0.12-16); minocycline (0.5-16); tigecycline (0.008-16); penicillin (0.06-8); piperacillin/tazobactam (0.06/4-128/4) and vancomycin (0.12-32). MIC interpretive criteria followed published guidelines established by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [11] and recent US Food and Drug Administration packaging insert for tigecycline [12], where applicable.
- ❖ Quality controls (QC) were performed by each testing site on each day of testing using ATCC control strains *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 and *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212. Results were included in the analysis only when corresponding QC isolates tested within the acceptable range according to CLSI (2008) guidelines [11].

References

1. FDA, Tygacil (R), NDA No. N021821 <http://www.fda.gov/cder/dm/ndaaps05cy.htm> June 15, 2005, United States Federal Drug Administration (FDA), 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD, USA.
2. Hoellman, D.B., et al., Antipneumococcal activities of GAR-936 (a new glycycline) compared to those of nine other agents against penicillin-susceptible and -resistant pneumococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2000; 44(4): p. 1085-8.
3. Labthavikul, P., P.J. Petersen, and P.A. Bradford, In vitro activity of tigecycline against *Staphylococcus epidermidis* growing in an adherent-cell biofilm model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2003; 47(12): p. 3967-9.
4. Projan, S.J., Preclinical pharmacology of GAR-936, a novel glycycline antibacterial agent. Pharmacotherapy. 2000; 20(9 Pt 2): p. 219S-223S; discussion 224S-226S.
5. Gales, A.C. and R.N. Jones, Antimicrobial activity and spectrum of the new glycycline, GAR-936 tested against 1,203 recent clinical bacterial isolates. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2000; 36(1): p. 19-36.
6. Patel, R., et al., In vitro activity of GAR-936 against vancomycin-resistant enterococci, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2000; 38(3): p. 177-9.
7. Rupp, M.E. and P.D. Fey, Extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*: considerations for diagnosis, prevention and drug treatment. Drugs. 2003; 63(4): p. 353-65.
8. Bouchillon, S.K., et al., In Vitro Activity of Tigecycline Against 3 989 Gram-Negative and Gram-Positive Clinical Isolates from the United States Tigecycline Evaluation and Surveillance Trial (TEST Program; 2004). Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2005; 52(3): p. 173-179.
9. Hoban, D.J., et al., In Vitro Activity of Tigecycline Against 6,792 Gram-Negative and Gram-Positive Clinical Isolates from the Global Tigecycline Evaluation and Surveillance Trial (TEST Program; 2004). Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2005; 52(3): p. 215-227.
10. CLSI, Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically: Approved Standard—Sixth Edition, in Document M7-A7, 2006; Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA.
11. CLSI, Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, in Document M100-S18, 2008; Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA.
12. Tygacil, Product Insert. 2005; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Philadelphia, PA, USA.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of the investigators, laboratory personnel and all members of the Tigecycline Evaluation Study Trials program group. This study was sponsored by a grant from Wyeth Pharmaceuticals.

Results

The results are listed in the following Tables and Figures.

Table 1. In vitro activity of tigecycline and comparative agents against 4,617 *Enterococcus* species in the United States.

Organisms	Drug	%Sus ^a	%Int	%Res	MIC (mcg/ml)		
					MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	Range
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp (n=4,617)	Tigecycline	99.9	0	0.1	0.06	0.12	≤0.008 - 1
	Ampicillin	76.6	0	23.4	1	>16	≤0.06 - >16
	Levofloxacin	41.7	0	58.3	16	>32	≤0.06 - >32
	Linezolid	99.8	0	0.2	2	2	≤0.5 - >8
	Minocycline	52.8	36.6	10.5	4	>8	≤0.25 - >8
	Penicillin	76.1	0	23.9	2	>8	≤0.06 - >8
	Vancomycin	77.7	0.6	21.7	1	>32	≤0.12 - >32
<i>Enterococcus avium</i> (n=39)	Tigecycline	100	0	0	0.06	0.06	≤0.008 - 0.12
	Ampicillin	84.6	0	15.4	1	>16	≤0.06 - >16
	Levofloxacin	41.0	0	59.0	2	32	≤0.06 - >32
	Linezolid	100	0	0	1	2	1 - 4
	Minocycline	64.1	33.3	2.6	4	8	≤0.25 - >8
	Penicillin	82.1	0	17.9	1	>8	≤0.06 - >8
	Vancomycin	89.7	0	10.3	0.5	32	≤0.12 - >32
<i>Enterococcus casseliflavus</i> (n=34)	Tigecycline	100	0	0	0.06	0.12	0.03 - 0.25
	Ampicillin	97.1	0	2.9	0.5	1	0.25 - >16
	Levofloxacin	47.1	0	52.9	2	8	1 - >32
	Linezolid	100	0	0	2	4	1 - 4
	Minocycline	73.5	23.5	2.9	≤0.25	8	≤0.25 - >8
	Penicillin	97.1	0	2.9	1	2	0.5 - >8
	Vancomycin	73.5	17.6	8.8	4	8	1 - >32
<i>Enterococcus durans</i> (n=28)	Tigecycline	96.4	0	3.6	0.06	0.12	0.03 - 0.5
	Ampicillin	35.7	0	64.3	>16	>16	0.25 - >16
	Levofloxacin	28.6	0	71.4	32	>32	0.12 - >32
	Linezolid	96.4	0	3.6	2	4	1 - >8
	Minocycline	42.9	32.1	25.0	8	>8	≤0.25 - >8
	Penicillin	32.1	0	67.9	>8	>8	0.5 - >8
	Vancomycin	46.4	0	53.6	32	>32	0.25 - >32
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (n=3,174)	Tigecycline	99.8	0	0.2	0.12	0.12	≤0.008 - 1
	Ampicillin	99.9	0	0.1	1	1	≤0.06 - >16
	Levofloxacin	55.0	0	45.0	1	>32	≤0.06 - >32
	Linezolid	99.9	0	0.1	2	2	≤0.5 - >8
	Minocycline	45.8	43.1	11.1	8	>8	≤0.25 - >8
	Penicillin	99.8	0	0.2	2	4	≤0.06 - 8
	Vancomycin	95.3	0.3	4.4	1	2	≤0.12 - >32
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i> (n=1,175)	Tigecycline	100	0	0	0.06	0.12	0.015 - 0.25
	Ampicillin	14.5	0	85.5	>16	>16	≤0.06 - >16
	Levofloxacin	6.6	0	93.4	>32	>32	0.12 - >32
	Linezolid	99.7	0	0.3	2	2	≤0.5 - >8
	Minocycline	71.7	19.6	8.8	≤0.25	8	≤0.25 - >8
	Penicillin	13.0	0	87.0	>8	>8	0.06 - >8
	Vancomycin	31.4	0.7	67.9	>32	>32	≤0.12 - >32
<i>Enterococcus Group D</i> (n=43)	Tigecycline	100	0	0	0.12	0.25	0.03 - 0.25
	Ampicillin	93.0	0	7.0	1	2	0.12 - >16
	Levofloxacin	37.2	0	62.8	2	>32	0.5 - >32
	Linezolid	100	0	0	2	2	≤0.5 - 4
	Minocycline	39.5	39.5	20.9	8	>8	≤0.25 - >8
	Penicillin	90.7	0	9.3	2	8	0.5 - >8
	Vancomycin	90.7	0	9.3	1	4	0.25 - >32
<i>Enterococcus raffinosus</i> (n=11)	Tigecycline	100	0	0	0.06	0.06	0.03 - 0.06
	Ampicillin	36.4	0	63.6	16	>16	0.5 - >16
	Levofloxacin	18.2	0	81.8	>32	>32	1 - >32
	Linezolid	100	0	0	2	2	1 - 2
	Minocycline	81.8	18.2	0	4	8	≤0.25 - >8
	Penicillin	27.3	0	72.7	>8	>8	0.5 - >8
	Vancomycin	63.6	0	36.4	1	>32	0.5 - >32
<i>Enterococcus non-specified</i> (n=109)	Tigecycline	100	0	0	0.06	0.12	0.03 - 0.25
	Ampicillin	69.7	0	30.3	1	>16	≤0.25 - >16
	Levofloxacin	41.3	0	58.7	32	>32	≤0.06 - >32
	Linezolid	100	0	0	2	2	≤0.5 - 4
	Minocycline	51.4	38.5	10.1	4	>8	≤0.25 - >8
	Penicillin	67.9	0	32.1	2	>8	1 - >8
	Vancomycin	68.8	0	31.2	1	>32	0.5 - >32

^a Interpretive criteria as defined by CLSI, M100-S18 (2008), where applicable [10]; Tigecycline FDA breakpoints for enterococci are approved for vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecalis*, only [12]; Breakpoints for tigecycline were applied to other enterococci for comparison purposes only.

Conclusions

- ❖ Tigecycline inhibited 99.9% of all *Enterococcus* spp. at the FDA susceptible breakpoints of 0.25 mcg/ml without regard to vancomycin-resistant phenotype.
- ❖ Tigecycline demonstrated equivalent in vitro potency to penicillin, ampicillin, vancomycin and linezolid against all individual *Enterococcus* species with MIC₉₀ values ranging from 0.06 to 0.25 mcg/ml.
- ❖ Tigecycline's MIC₉₀ of 0.12 mcg/ml against both vancomycin-resistant *E. faecalis* and vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium* was the lowest of all comparator agents in this study.
- ❖ The in vitro activity of tigecycline in this study suggests that tigecycline is highly active against vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* species and may be an effective treatment option for these frequently difficult to treat phenotypes.

Figure 1. Cumulative percents inhibited (%) of tigecycline and comparative agents against 4,617 enterococci at each MIC (mcg/mL).

